WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

2017 REGULAR SESSION

Committee Substitute

for

House Bill 2709

BY DELEGATES NELSON, E., LANE, BYRD, WALTERS,

FOSTER, N., ROWE, ROBINSON, WHITE, PUSHKIN, CAPITO

AND ARMSTEAD

[Originating in the Committee on Finance;

March 20, 2017]

A BILL to amend and reenact §8-38-9 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, relating
 to the Legislature's authorizing the City of South Charleston to levy a special district excise
 tax for the benefit of the South Charleston Park Place Economic Opportunity Development
 District.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

That §8-38-9 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, be amended and reenacted
to read as follows:

ARTICLE 38. MUNICIPAL ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS. §8-38-9. Authorization to levy special district excise tax.

1 (a) General. — Municipalities have no inherent authority to levy taxes and have only that 2 authority expressly granted to them by the Legislature. The Legislature is specifically extended, 3 and intends by this article to exercise certain relevant powers expressed in section six-a, article X of the Constitution of this state as follows: (1) The Legislature may appropriate state funds for 4 5 use in matching or maximizing grants-in-aid for public purposes from the United States or any 6 department, bureau, commission or agency thereof, or any other source, to any county, 7 municipality or other political subdivision of the state, under such circumstances and subject to 8 such terms, conditions and restrictions as the Legislature may prescribe by law; and (2) the 9 Legislature may impose a state tax or taxes or dedicate a state tax or taxes or any portion thereof 10 for the benefit of and use by counties, municipalities or other political subdivisions of the state for 11 public purposes, the proceeds of any such imposed or dedicated tax or taxes or portion thereof 12 to be distributed to such counties, municipalities or other political subdivisions of the state under 13 such circumstances and subject to such terms, conditions and restrictions as the Legislature may 14 prescribe.

Because a special district excise tax would have the effect of diverting, for a specified period of years, tax dollars which to the extent, if any, are not essentially incremental to tax dollars currently paid into the General Revenue Fund of the state, the Legislature finds that in order to

1

CS for HB 2709

18 substantially ensure that such special district excise taxes will not adversely impact the current 19 level of the General Revenue Fund of the state, it is necessary for the Legislature to separately 20 consider and act upon each and every economic development district which is proposed, 21 including the unique characteristics of location, current condition and activity of and within the 22 area included in such proposed economic opportunity development district and that for such 23 reasons a statute more general in ultimate application is not feasible for accomplishment of the 24 intention and purpose of the Legislature in enacting this article. Therefore, no economic 25 opportunity development district excise tax may be levied by a municipality until after the 26 Legislature expressly authorizes the municipality to levy a special district excise tax on sales of 27 tangible personal property and services made within district boundaries approved by the 28 Legislature.

(b) Authorizations. — The Legislature authorizes the following municipalities to levy
 special district excise taxes on sales of tangible personal property and services made from
 business locations in the following economic opportunity development districts.

32 <u>The City of South Charleston may levy a special district excise tax for the benefit of the</u> 33 <u>South Charleston Park Place Economic Opportunity Development District which comprises up to</u> 34 <u>two thousand one hundred contiguous acres of land.</u>

2